



BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 147-150

Nodulisporic Acid Side-Chain Modifications: Access to the 2", 3", 4", and 6" Registers

Prasun K. Chakravarty, Thomas L. Shih, Steven L. Colletti, Michelle B. Ayer, Christine Snedden, Howard Kuo, Sriram Tyagarajan, Lynn Gregory, Michelle Zakson-Aiken, Wesley L. Shoop, Dennis M. Schmatz, Matthew J. Wyvratt, Michael H. Fisher and Peter T. Meinke*

Merck Research Laboratories, PO Box 2000, RY800-B101, Rahway, NJ 07065-0900, USA

Received 14 March 2002; accepted 14 May 2002

Abstract—Efficient routes to access the 2'', 3'', 4'', and 6'' registers of the nodulisporic acid (NsA) side chain are disclosed. A mild one-carbon, $Ph_2C=NCH_2C\equiv N$ mediated homologation of NsA's 3''-aldehyde permitted access to the 4''-register. Curtius reaction of NsA's 3''-acid yielded the corresponding 2''-aldehyde 4 from which the unnatural $\Delta^{2'',3''}$ -olefin isomer 2b was obtained. In addition, Arndt–Eistert reactions of the parent NsA permitted a one-carbon homologation to the 6'' register. These efforts identified new analogues with significant flea activity and illustrated the biological significance of unsaturation at the 1'',2'' register. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Merck scientists recently disclosed¹ the structure of a novel indole diterpene, nodulisporic acid A (NsA A. 1). one member of a new family of fungal metabolites.² This structurally intriguing natural product exhibits significant insecticidal activity, both in vitro and in vivo. ^{1,3} These properties have inspired creative approaches towards the total synthesis of 1.4 NsA has been delineated into so called 'permissive' and 'non-permissive' regions. Synthetic manipulations of NsA's 'permissive' side chain identified modifications which improved flea potency in vitro (up to 100-fold),⁵ including certain nodulisporamides exhibiting up to 14 days complete control of fleas on dogs following a single oral dose while lacking mammalian toxicity.⁶ Further synthetic efforts produced new dienyl derivatives substituted at the 3" and 4" positions7 in addition to a series of heterocyclic 3",4"-olefin surrogates.8 Integral to continued investigations, ready access to multiple oxidation states of the remaining side-chain registers (e.g., 2", 3", 4" and 6") of NsA was required. In this communication, the efficient preparation of 2–5 (where $R = OR^a$, NR^aR^b , H, or alkyl) from 1 is described. These efforts produced

9556; e-mail: peter_meinke@merck.com

new analogues exhibiting useful flea activity in vitro. NsA analogues 2a and 2b, for instance, which differ only by their sites of unsaturation (either 1",2"-dehydro as in 1 or its unnatural $\Delta^{2",3"}$ -regioisomer) allowed evaluation of olefin location on flea efficacy. In addition, aldehydes at these three newly accessible positions served as useful intermediates to novel structures.

The initial synthetic design to access 2a originally appeared straightforward: react an appropriate Wittig reagent with silylated 3"-aldehyde 7a or 7b, thereby generating a hydrolytically labile enol ether or its equivalent, a classical route to accomplish a one carbon homologation (Scheme 1). Bis-protected 7a was readily available in a two-step sequence from NsA A.9 Wittig olefinations under standard conditions (7a or 7b, $Ph_3P = CR^1R^2$, THF, $-78 \rightarrow 0$ °C) produced 8–11 in good yields (50-85%). These reactions, as well as others described herein, typically were performed on scales of 25–200 mg. Unfortunately, under no circumstances could these new NsA derivatives be successfully transformed either into the desired 4"-aldehyde or 4"-ester as only extensive degradation resulted. Alternatively, converting the 5"-carboxylic acid 12 to the corresponding acyl azide (DPPA, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂) followed by Curtius rearrangement (PhMe, 80 °C, 3 h) yielded vinyl isocyanate 13 (80%

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-732-594-3966; fax: +1-732-594-

[†]Current address: J-Star Research, Inc., 3001 Hadley Road #1, South Plainfield, NJ 07080, USA.

Scheme 1.

for two steps). Hydrolysis of 13, however, again failed to produce the requisite 4"-aldehyde, even though this precise sequence successfully produced the 2"-aldehyde 4 in high yields (vide infra).

Ultimately, the successful synthesis of 4"-ester 16 occurred through recourse to condensation adduct 14. Prepared by reacting 7a with the lithium enolate of $N \equiv CCH_2N = CPh_2^{10}$ (THF, $-78 \rightarrow 0$ °C), the labile imine 14 was unstable to purification but could be gently hydrolyzed to produce the chromatographically stable enamine 15 (65% for two steps). 11 Further acid catalyzed hydrolysis of this enamine in MeOH produced the long elusive 4"-methyl ester 16, presumably via the intermediacy of a 4"-acyl nitrile in 60-80% yield along with variable quantities of an undesired 23,24-dehydrated NsA byproduct. Ester saponification to procure the corresponding carboxylic acid was not viable, as these conditions would result in biologically uninteresting 23,24-dehydro or 2'-epimeric NsA adducts. Instead, transesterification of 16 mediated by stoichiometric Ti(OiPr)₄¹² in neat allyl alcohol at 80 °C produced allyl ester 17, remarkably in near quantitative yield without concomitant 24-dehydration or 2'-epimerization. Liberation of the target carboxylic acid 18 was readily accomplished by palladium-catalyzed allyl group deprotection using nBu₃SnH.¹³ This resultant acid was then converted into the corresponding amide derivatives 19 using standard, BOP-mediated coupling reactions⁵ and the representative derivatives thus prepared are tabulated below (Table 1). In addition, carboxylic acid 18 was further elaborated to the related 4"-aldehyde 20 via its ethyl thioester intermediate which was promptly reduced using Lindlar's catalyst. *Rapid* degradation of this 4"-aldehyde occurred upon purification or exposure to air, although under an inert atmosphere its stability was enhanced.

A complementary approach to the synthesis of the 4"-methyl ester 16 also was developed and is illustrated in Scheme 2. The 3"-aldehyde derivative 7a was selectively reduced with 9-BBN in excellent yield (80%) and the resultant alcohol acylated, producing allylic acetate 21 and carbonates 22–23. Treatment of 21–23 with a suitable palladium catalyst in MeOH under a carbon monoxide atmosphere produced the desired 4"-methyl ester 16, which could be further elaborated as previously noted. Yields of this carbonomethylation, however, remained modest, as the reaction failed to go to completion. The effect of various catalysts, CO pressures, extended reaction times and elevated temperatures were surveyed, but ultimately Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv), dppp (0.1 equiv) in a mixture of MeOH and DMF (1:1) at 1 atm gave the best yields ($\sim 20\%$), along with recovered starting material (\sim 80%).

Successful synthesis of the regioisomeric, $\Delta^{2'',3''}$ -unsaturated NsA derivatives **2b** required an alternative, six-step protocol (Scheme 3). The TES-protected 3''-carboxylic acid **24** was prepared from **7b** as previously described.

Table 1. Unsaturated 4"-nodulisporic acid derivatives 14,15

Compd	Unsaturation	R ^{4"} group	Flea (ppm)
1	(Nodulisporic Acid A)		1
16	1",2"-Dehydro	OMe	~ 1
18	1",2"-Dehydro	OH	
20	1",2"-Dehydro	H	10
28	1",2"-Dehydro	Me	1
29	1",2"-Dehydro	NH-Et	~ 10
30	1",2"-Dehydro	NH-cPr	~ 1
31	1",2"-Dehydro	NH-tBu	~ 1
32	1",2"-Dehydro	NHCH ₂ (2-furfuryl)	~ 10
33	1",2"-Dehydro	NHCH ₂ Ph	~ 10
34	1",2"-Dehydro	NHPh	~ 1
35	1",2"-Dehydro	NMe_2	10
36	1",2"-Dehydro	N(Me)nPr	~ 1
37	1",2"-Dehydro	N-1-morpholinyl	~ 1
38	2",3"-Dehydro	Me	~ 10
39	2",3"-Dehydro	OH	
40	2",3"-Dehydro	OMe	~ 10
41	2",3"-Dehydro	NH-Et	~ 10
42	2",3"-Dehydro	NH-cPr	> 10
43	2",3"-Dehydro	NMe_2	~ 10

This acid was quantitatively converted to the chromatographically stable acyl azide 25 using diphenylphosphoryl azide. Thermolysis of 25 induced a Curtius rearrangement, generating 26, again in near quantitative yield. Although isolation of the intermediate vinyl isocyanate was viable, for expediency, following removal of reaction volatiles in vacuo, 26 was immediately subjected to acid-catalyzed hydrolysis, yielding the requisite protected 2"-aldehyde 27 (80%). With this key NsA intermediate in hand, attention turned to chain elongation with stabilized Wittig reagents. Aldehyde 27 reacted

7b
$$\frac{1) \text{ 9-BBN}}{2) \text{ RC(O)CI}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{9-BBN} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{3} \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{3} \end{cases}$$

Scheme 2.

readily with these Wittig reagents only at elevated temperatures; at ambient temperatures, virtually no olefination occurred. For the case of **2b** where OR^a is $-OCH_2CH=CH_2$, allyl group removal as previously described proceeded smoothly. Subsequent coupling of this $\Delta^{2'',3''}$ -unsaturated carboxylic acid **39** with diverse amines using BOP under standard conditions produced the representative NsA amides **41–43** in high yields subsequent to TES removal. These conditions also served to generate the 3''-amides **44–50** from the precursor acid **24** (Table 2).

The 6"-carboxylic acid 5 (R = OH) was synthesized via Arndt–Eistert chain homologation of NsA A (1). Reacting 1 with MeSO₂Cl yielded the corresponding mixed anhyride of 1 which was promptly exposed to diazomethane. Rearrangement of the resultant, chromatographically stable α -diazoketone, mediated by PhCO₂Ag in MeOH, yielded the desired 6"-methyl ester in good yield. This 6"-ester could be converted into the corresponding 6"-acid (5, R = OH) following transesterification using allyl alcohol and deprotection. From this 6"-acid, the desired 6"-aldehyde and 6"-amides were prepared as discussed above. Uniformly, these compounds exhibited attenuated efficacy (\geq 10 ppm) in the flea in vitro assay (data not shown).

All new NsA derivatives were evaluated for systemic activity using a membrane feeding assay wherein adult fleas ingest drug-treated bovine blood.^{3,5} In this assay,

Table 2. 3"-Nodulisporamide derivatives 14,15

Compd	R ^{3"} Group	Flea (ppm)
44	NH-Me	~10
45	NH-nPr	> 10
46	NHCH ₂ Ph	~ 10
47	NHCMe ₂ (2-pyridyl)	~1
48	NMe_2	> 10
49	N-1-[4-(2-pyridyl)piperizinyl)	~ 1
50	N(Me)CH ₂ CH=CH ₂	> 10

nodulisporic acid A is fully active at the 1 ppm level. While only one of the newly prepared derivatives from either series exhibited full efficacy against fleas at this screening level, close inspection of the data for all NsA analogues in Table 1 served to reveal several trends. First, although the 4"-methyl ketone 28 was equipotent to 1, only methyl ester 16 and a limited subset of nodulisporamides of intermediate lipophilicity (e.g., 30, 31, 34, 36, and 37) displayed comparable ectoparasite activity. Small and larger 2° amides at 4" (NHEt, NHCH₂Ar, etc.) were 10-fold less potent, as were smaller 3° amides, such as 35 (NMe₂). Interestingly, somewhat larger 3° nodulisporamides [e.g., (N(Me)nPr) and 37 (N-1-morpholinyl)] retained their activity against fleas. Flea efficacy results obtained for the $\Delta^{2'',3''}$ -dehydro-NsA series **38–43** clearly established the biological significance of unsaturation in register with that of the parent acid 1: these regioisomeric derivatives were uniformly less active against fleas than their natural counterparts. Similarly, the 3"- and 6"-nodulisporamides exhibited diminished biological activity, with the exception of larger 3"-nodulisporamides such as 47 and 50.

In summary, efficient protocols to prepare new sidechain modified nodulisporic acid analogues modified at the 2", 3", 4" and 6" side-chain registers were developed. Derivatives were synthesized differing in side-chain olefin substitution patterns, demonstrating the requirement for the natural unsaturation pattern for the most potent flea efficacy. While no derivatives with flea activity superior to that of the parent acid 1 were discovered, numerous analogues in these new structure classes with comparable activity were identified. In addition, several of the newly synthesized NsA analogues may serve as useful intermediates for the preparation of additional novel structures.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank Ms. Amy Bernick for providing mass spectral data and Mr. John Ondeyka for providing nodulisporic acid A.

References and Notes

- 1. Ondeyka, J. G.; Helms, G. L.; Hensens, O. D.; Goetz, M. A.; Zink, D. L.; Tsipouras, A.; Shoop, W. L.; Slayton, L.; Dombrowski, A. W.; Polishook, J. D.; Ostlind, D. A.; Tsou, N. N.; Ball, R. G.; Singh, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8809.

 2. Hensens, O. D.; Ondeyka, J. G.; Dombrowski, A. W.; Ostlind, D. A.; Zink, D. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 5455.

 3. (a) Shoop, W. L.; Gregory, L. M.; Zakson-Aiken, M.; Michael, B. F.; Haines, H. W.; Ondeyka, J. G.; Meinke, P. T.; Schmatz, D. M. J. Parasitol. 2001, 87, 419. (b) Pullen, S. R.; Meola, R. W. Lab. Animal 1996, 39.
- 4. (a) Smith, A. B., III; Ishiyama, H.; Cho, Y. S.; Ohmoto, K. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3967. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Cho, Y. S.; Ishiyama, H. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3971.
- 5. Meinke, P. T.; Ayer, M. B.; Colletti, S. L.; Ok, D.; Li, C.; Lim, J.; Salva, S.; Shih, T. L.; Wyvratt, M.; Warmke, L. M.; Shoop, W. L.; Zakson-Aiken, M.; Schmatz, D. M.; Fisher, M. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 2371.
- 6. Shoop, W. L.; Zakson-Aiken, M.; Gregory, L. M.; Michael, B. F.; Pivnichny, J.; Meinke, P. T.; Fisher, M. H.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Schmatz, D. M. *J. Parasitol.* **2001**, *87*, 1150. 7. Ok, D.; Li, C.; Shih, T. L.; Salva, S.; Ayer, M. B.; Colletti, S. L.; Chalarage et al., P. K.; Wyrratt, M. Fisher, M. H.; Care
- S. L.; Chakravarty, P. K.; Wyvratt, M.; Fisher, M. H.; Gregory, L.; Zakson-Aiken, M.; Shoop, W. L.; Schmatz, D.; Meinke, P. T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 1751.
- 8. Berger, R.; Shoop, W. L.; Pivnichny, J. V.; Warmke, L. M.; Zakson-Aiken, M.; Owens, K. A.; deMontigny, P.; Schmatz, D. M.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Meinke, P. T.; Colletti, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3715.
- 9. Chakravarty, P. K.; Tyagarajan, S.; Shih, T. L.; Salva, S.; Snedden, C.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Meinke, P. T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1291.
- 10. (a) Hadrami, M. E.; Lavergne, J.-P.; Viallefont, P.; Chiaroni, A.; Riche, C.; Hasnaoui, A. *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 157. (b) Dryanska, V.; Angelova, O.; Macicek, J.; Shishkova, L.; Denkova, P.; Spassov, S. *J. Chem. Res.* (S) **1995**, 268.
- 11. For a related one carbon aldehyde homologation, see: Jaafar, I.; Francis, G.; Danion-Bougot, R.; Danion, D. *Synthesis* **1994**, 56.
- 12. Seebach, D.; Hungerbuhler, E.; Naef, R.; Schnurrenberger, P.; Weidmann, B.; Zuger, M. Synthesis 1982, 138.
- 13. Hornback, W. J.; Munroe, J. E.; Counter, F. T. J. Anti-biot. 1994, 47, 1052.
- 14. All new nodulisporic acid analogues were characterized by ¹H NMR and mass spectrometry.
- 15. Active: $\geq 80\%$ flea kill; partially active (\sim): 51–79% flea kill; inactive: $\leq 50\%$ flea kill.